Docket No.: 085742-0010 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Patent Number: 7,514,100

Benjamin OSHLACK

Application No.: 10/660,349

Filed: September 11, 2003

For:

CONTROLLED RELEASE HYDROCODONE FORMULATIONS

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.182

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Under 37 CFR 1.182, a petition may be submitted to the Director to decide situations not specifically provided for in the patent regulations. This Petition Under 37 CFR 1.182 requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment calculation for U.S. Patent No. 7,514,100, filed as Application No. 10/660,349 on September 11, 2003 and issued on April 7, 2009. This patent falls into a category of patents entitled to additional patent term adjustment (PTA) with no regulation to provide for the granting of such PTA. This petition is accompanied by the \$400 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(f).

The U.S. Patent & Trademark Office ("PTO") permits a Request for Recalculation of Patent Term Adjustment in View of Wyeth (PTO/SB/131) for patents issued before March 2, 2010 that were not issued more than 180 days before the Request was filed. The instant patent does not fall within this time period restriction. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the Director decide to have the PTO recalculate the patent term adjustment ("PTA") for this patent.

The arbitrary date restrictions for PTA recalculation of patents falling victim to the PTO's old, pre-Wyeth calculation deprives patents falling outside of the date requirements for filing a Form PTO/SB/131 of a means to obtain the valuable patent term adjustment due them. PTA under 35 U.S.C. § 154 is in effect for applications filed after May 29, 2000. The instant patent was filed after this date. It should not be deprived of PTA calculated according to a proper interpretation of the statute because no regulation provides for recapture of PTA lost through the PTO's old, incorrect method of calculation.

Summary of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. § 154

35 U.S.C. § 154 guarantees that the PTO will provide at least one notification under section 132 or a notice of allowance not later than 14 months after the date on which the application was filed, and subsequent notifications within four months of an applicant's response. PTO delays beyond these times are referred to as "A" delays.

35 U.S.C. § 154 further guarantees a no more than 3-year application pendancy. This does not include time consumed by continued examination requested by the applicant. PTO delays beyond this 3-year period are referred to as "B" delays. PTO delays do not include "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A). Applicant delay is deducted from the PTO delay to arrive at the PTA.

PTO Practice Prior to Wyeth

Prior to the Federal Circuit's holding in *Wyeth v. Kappos*, 591 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2010), the PTO interpreted "no overlap" under 35 U.S.C. § 154 to mean that any A delay would necessarily result in B delay. Thus, an applicant was entitled to a PTA equal to the greater of the two types of delay, but not both.

The Correct Calculation under Wyeth

In *Wyeth*, the Federal Circuit stated that: "[n]o overlap happens unless the violations occur at the same time." *Id.* at 1369-70. According to *Wyeth*, an applicant can be entitled to both A and B delays because no B delay occurs before the 3-year pendancy date. Thus, there can be no overlap for A delays occurring before the 3-year pendancy date.

The PTO's Old Calculation Deprived the Applicant of 336 Days of PTA

The PTO calculated 668 days of PTA for the instant '100 patent. As illustrated below, the correct calculation under *Wyeth* is 1004 days. A copy of the prosecution history, downloaded from the PTO's PAIR database is attached as Exhibit A.

(a) A Delays

(i) Applicant filed a Response after Non-Final Action on January 14, 2005. The PTO mailed an *Ex Parte Quayle* Action on May 31, 2005. The PTO Action was 17 days beyond 4-months from the May 31, 2005 Response, resulting in a 17 day A delay.

(ii) Applicant filed a Response after *Ex Parte Quayle* Action on June 27, 2005. The PTO's next Office Action was mailed on October 4, 2007. This Action was 707 days beyond 4 months from the June 27, 2005 Response, resulting in a 707 day A delay.

(b) B Delay

The '349 application was filed on September 11, 2003. A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) was filed on September 15, 2008. This resulted in a B delay of 735 days as follows. Three years from September 11, 2003 is September 11, 2006. The interval between September 11, 2006 and the September 15, 2008 RCE filing date is 735 days.

(c) Overlap

The overlap is the period of A delay extending beyond the 3-year pendency date into the B delay period. Here, this is the period from September 6, 2006 to October 4, 2007 and is an overlap of 388 days.

(d) Non-overlapped PTO Delay

The non-overlap delay is 17 + 707 + 735 - 338 = 1,071 days.

(e) Applicant Delays

(i) Applicant delay was 61 days for the period in excess of three months for the Response filed March 5, 2008 following the October 4, 2007 Non-Final Rejection;

- (ii) Applicant delayed 3 days for the period in excess of three months from the RCE filed September 15, 2008 following the June 12, 2008 Final Rejection, and
- (iii) Applicant delayed 3 days for the period in excess of three months for the Issue Fee payment received February 20, 2009 following the November 17, 2008 Notice of Allowance.
 - (iv) The total applicant delay was 61 + 3 + 3 = 67 days.

PTA under Wyeth

The correct PTA is 1,071 - 67 = 1,004 days.

Conclusion

As detailed above, the '100 patent should have been granted 1,004 days of PTA. The PTO, using the old, incorrect calculation method, granted 668 days of PTA. Thus, the applicant was deprived of 336 days of patent term adjustment through no fault of its own. The PTO has provided a means for patents issued before March 2, 2010 to have a recalculation of their PTA via a Request for Recalculation of Patent Term Adjustment in View of *Wyeth* (PTO/SB/131) as long as the patent was not issued more than 180 days before the Request was filed. No regulation provides for a recalculation of the PTA for patents falling under 35 U.S.C. § 154 but outside of the PTO's arbitrary date restrictions. Applicant requests that the Director decide that a recalculation of the PTA in the instant case be performed and that the PTO recognize the additional PTA due this patent.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WJIL & EMERY LLP

Paul M. Zagar

Registration No. 52,392

600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096 Phone: 212.547.5400 PMZ:MWE

Facsimile: 202.756.8087 **Date: July 21, 2010**

Please recognize our Customer No. 20277 as our correspondence address.

EXHIBIT A

Transaction History



Home | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glossary | Guides | Contacts | eBusiness | eBiz Alerts | News | Help

Portal Hom

Patent:

Trademark

09-11-2003

04-19-2007

06-27-2005

04-17-2007

06-27-2005

05-02-2006

08-28-2005

05-31-2005

05-26-2005

05-18-2005

05-17-2005

09-11-2003

04-05-2005

01-14-2005

01-14-2005

Other

Sign-Off Authenticated Session

Patent eBusiness Secured Patent Application Information Retrieval Electronic Filing XML Order Certified Application As Filed Order Certified File Wrapper 📜 View Order List Patent Application Information Download (PAIR) 10/660.349 CONTROLLED RELEASE HYDROCODONE FORMULATIONS H Patent Ownership H Fees Published Address & Display Documents Attorney/Agent Reference Application Transaction Image File Patent Term Data History Wrapper Adjustments Continuity E Supplemental Resources & Support New Case Adjustments: Transaction History Patent Information **Transaction Description** Date Patent Guidance and General Info 04-07-2009 Recordation of Patent Grant Mailed Codes, Rules & Manuals 03-18-2009 Issue Notification Mailed Employee & Office Directories H Resources & Public Notices 04-07-2009 Patent Issue Date Used in PTA Calculation 02-26-2009 Dispatch to FDC **Patent Searches** 02-25-2009 Application Is Considered Ready for Issue Patent Official Gazette 02-20-2009 Issue Fee Payment Verified F Search Patents & Applications 02-20-2009 Issue Fee Payment Received E Search Biological Sequences 11-17-2008 Mail Examiner's Amendment E Copies. Products & Services 11-17-2008 Mail Notice of Allowance 11-14-2008 **Document Verification** Other 11-14-2008 Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed Copyrights **Trademarks** Examiner's Amendment Communication 11-10-2008 Policy & Law 09-22-2008 Information Disclosure Statement considered Reports 09-22-2008 Reference capture on IDS 09-22-2008 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed 10-22-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner 10-22-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner 09-15-2008 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 10-22-2008 Disposal for a RCE / CPA / R129 10-04-2008 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 09-22-2008 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed 09-15-2008 Workflow - Request for RCE - Begin 06-12-2008 Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326) 06-09-2008 Final Rejection 11-13-2007 Information Disclosure Statement considered 04-05-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner 03-05-2008 Response after Non-Final Action 03-05-2008 Request for Extension of Time - Granted 01-08-2008 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 11-13-2007 Reference capture on IDS 11-13-2007 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed 11-13-2007 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed 10-04-2007 Mail Non-Final Rejection 10-01-2007 Non-Final Rejection 01-14-2005 Information Disclosure Statement considered

Information Disclosure Statement considered

Oath or Declaration Filed (Including Supplemental)

Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU

Response after Ex Parte Quayle Action

Mail Ex Parte Quayle Action (PTOL - 326)

Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed

Date Forwarded to Examiner

Ex Parte Quayle Action

Paralegal TD Not accepted

Preliminary Amendment

02-11-2005	Date Forwarded to Examiner
01-14-2005	Response after Non-Final Action
01-14-2005	Workflow incoming amendment IFW
10-15-2004	Mail Non-Final Rejection
10-01-2004	Non-Final Rejection
07-08-2004	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
07-08-2004	IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete
09-11-2003	Affidavit(s) (Rule 131 or 132) or Exhibit(s) Received
09-11-2003	Reference capture on IDS
09-11-2003	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
09-11-2003	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
12-05-2003	Application Is Now Complete
12-04-2003	Application Return from OIPE
12-05-2003	Application Is Now Complete
12-04-2003	Application Return TO OIPE
12-04-2003	Application Dispatched from OIPE
12-04-2003	Application Is Now Complete
11-24-2003	Cleared by OIPE CSR
10-20-2003	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review
09-11-2003	Initial Exam Team nn

If you need help:

- Call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197 (toll free) or e-mail <u>EBC@uspto.gov</u> for specific questions about Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR).
 Send general questions about USPTO programs to the <u>USPTO Contact Center (UCC)</u>.
 If you experience technical difficulties or problems with this application, please report them via e-mail to <u>Electronic Business Support</u> or call 1 800-786-9199.

You can suggest USPTO webpages or material you would like featured on this section by E-mail to the <u>webmaster@uspto.gov</u>. While we cannot promise to accommodate all requests, your suggestions will be considered and may lead to other improvements on the website.